

Richard A. Bardin Gilbert G. Kovelman Vern Schooley James W. Paul John S. Nagy Craig B. Bailey Stephen J. Strauss

Thomas H. Majcher Thomas A. Runk Michael S. Elkind

Gary M. Anderson Ronald E. Perez I. Morley Drucker

David G. Parkhurst John V. Hanley

John K. Fitzgerald Paul Y. Feng

Gunther O. Hanke

James Juo David J. Pitman

David S. Sarisky

Michael S. Doll Paul D. O'Brien

Samuel L. Alberstadt

Brian P. Kinder

David G. Majdali Richard C. Salfelder

Lisa A. Karczewski

Douglas R. Peterson

Karen L. Ruthig Michael J. Moffatt

Vernon R. Yancy

Alvin B. Lindsay

Nickie F. Kubasak

Lew V. Macapagal Jennifer L. Webber

Of Counsel

Francis A. Utecht

Howard N. Sommers Ellsworth R. Roston

Leonard D. Messinger

Scott R. Hansen

Robert W. Fulwider (1903-1979)

Warren L. Patton (1912-1985)

John M. Lee (1921-1978)

FULWIDER PATTON

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

October 21, 2003

Ms. Dalene Quiachon-Rosen/EB Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. 3200 Lakeside Drive Santa Clara, California 95054-2807

Re: CIP Application for U.S. Letters Patent

CIP of ACS 54030 (2227P); Serial No. 09/740,560, filed 12-19-00

Serial No.: 09/945,152

Title: SHEATHLESS EMBOLIC PROTECTION SYSTEM

Inventor: William J. Boyle et al.

Filed: August 31, 2001 ACS Docket No.: 2227X Our Docket No. ACS 57082

Dear Dalene:

With reference to the above-identified patent application, we wish to inform you that a Response to the Election of Species Requirement, mailed by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 23, 2003, was filed today October 21, 2003. A copy of the Response is enclosed for your records.

inderery,

Thomas H. Majcher

Fulwider Patton Lee & Utecht, LLP

THM:mjm

Enclosure: Response to Election of Species Requirement (copy)

29396.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinguis 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

:(\ L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
//XX,	09/945,152	08/31/2001	William J. Boyle	ACS-57082	2607
1/1	24201	7590 09/23/2003			
: /	FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			EXAMINER	
	HOWARD HU	JGHES CENTER			
OIPE	6060 CENTER DRIVE			HO, UYEN T	
	ENTH FLOC	OR			
	ADS ANGELI	ES, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OCT 3 0 7	(ייי מס			3731	
4	. (\$)			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	8
A,	INT OF				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

NOV 0 5 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

RE		Application No.	Applicant(s)
الخ غا	\	09/945,152	BOYLE ET AL.
3 0 5003	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	/	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731
Period for A SHO	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspond nc address
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the po - If NO p - Failure - Any rep earned	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (i vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	August 2001	
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards of the closed in accordance with the practice under a n of Claims	nce except for formal matte	
·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application		
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		REOF
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		MECEIVED
6)□ 0	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		RECEIVED NOV 0 5 2003
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		TECHNOLOGY OF
8)⊠ C Applicatio	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)∐ Tł	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the	e Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[] Ti	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 Tr	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[_	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) 🗌 Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •	
Attachment(:	s)		
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/945,152

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: Figures 1-5; Species II: Figures 6-8; Species III: Figures 9-10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3731

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Thomas H. Majcher on 9/15/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

September 16, 2003